

A close-up photograph of a person with dark hair, wearing a red long-sleeved shirt, focused on carving a large, light-colored wooden block. The person is using a chisel to shape the wood. The background is slightly blurred, showing another person's face in the upper left. The overall scene is brightly lit, suggesting an outdoor or well-lit workshop environment.

JPS

The Journal
of the
Polynesian Society

VOLUME 127 No.2 JUNE 2018

THE POLYNESIAN SOCIETY
THE UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND
NEW ZEALAND

PERFORMING CULTURAL HERITAGE WITH *TĪFAIFAI*, TAHITIAN “QUILTS”

JOYCE D. HAMMOND
Western Washington University

People of the Society Islands, as well as of other islands in what is today French Polynesia, began creating piecework and appliqué textiles from imported Western cloth sometime in the early to mid-19th century. *Tīfaifai*, an indigenous word widely used for the textiles, have been continuously created ever since.¹ Research into *tīfaifai* (de Chazeaux and Frémy 2012; Grand 2012; Hammond 1986a, 1986b, 2014, 2015; O’Reilly 1959) and other textile traditions of Eastern Polynesia, most notably Hawaiian quilts called *kapa apana* (Akana 1981; Arthur 2010a, 2010b; Brandon and Woodard 2003; Hammond 1986a; Serrao *et al.* 2007) and Cook Islands *tivaevae* (Hammond 1986a; Herda 2002, 2011; Küchler and Eimke 2009; Rongotea 2001),² reveals both similarities and differences between *tīfaifai* and those traditions.³ The diversity and special character of the traditions are embedded within unique histories.

In this article, I focus on contemporary appliqué *tīfaifai* of French Polynesia, arguing that their increasingly important role in conveying islanders’ pride in Polynesian culture and identity is manifested in the ways that *tīfaifai* publicly perform *le patrimoine* or *faufa’a tupuna* (French and indigenous language terms, respectively, for heritage).⁴ Drawing upon new materialist theory, performance studies and visual display concepts, I discuss ways in which *tīfaifai* help to transmit and modify culture across time and space, shape social relationships and influence people’s constructions of Mā’ohi (indigenous people of French Polynesia) cultural identities. Insights from the performative turn in anthropology and folklore studies, many of which address identity expression (Bauman 1989; Conquergood 1989; Fine and Speer 1992; Smith 2011; Turner 1986), fit well with the ideas of new materialism theory (Gell 1998; Latour 2005; Miller 2005; Schouwenburg 2015; Storey 2017) that foregrounds the agency of things. Asserting that performance theory opens up all forms of expressive culture, including textile arts, to performance inquiry, Conquergood has written, “A performance perspective emphasizes the experiential, processual, creative, actor-oriented constructions of culture” (1992: 211).⁵

A central tenet of new materialism theory is that as actants (anything that can modify other actors, whether people or things), things are co-constitutive with people who may interact with them in myriad ways (Barad 2007; Dant

1999; Miller 1987; Schweitzer and Zerdy 2014). Dant asserts that objects “*extend human action and mediate meanings* between humans”, and clarifies that “objects are shaped by a culture which defines what certain types of things can *do*” (1999: 13; italics in original). Things are part of networks of relations and, thus, co-shape social worlds. Embedded in the larger social and cultural relationships with the people who create them, display them, see them, photograph them, buy them, bestow them upon others and otherwise engage with them, *tīfai fai* are, to borrow Schouwenburg’s words, “produced and productive, generated and generative” (2015: 65). Since *tīfai fai* meanings are not fixed in any given moment, *tīfai fai*, like other objects, are “constructed and interpreted according to particular contexts and circumstances” (Kerlogue 2004: viii). This means that they are embedded in many social and cultural relationships both within French Polynesia and beyond as they persist in constant negotiation with other actants (including people). As part of a continuous and vibrant tradition that spans more than 150 years, *tīfai fai* are constantly generating and being generated by the circumstances associated with a wide range of societal changes. This leads individual *tīfai fai*, like other things, to have individual cultural biographies (Appadurai 1986; Kopytoff 1986; Martinson 2014), and for all *tīfai fai*, as a culturally recognised category of things, to have a collective cultural biography that reveals their interactions with various shifting contextual circumstances in society over time.

The ever-changing and developing biographical history of *tīfai fai* is one which has had a co-constitutive impact on me in my many years of thinking and writing about *tīfai fai* themselves and the people who make them. Beginning in the 1970s when I first embarked on research into *tīfai fai*, I began a relationship that has recently deepened as a result of returning to French Polynesia to learn of changes over the intervening decades. My first encounter with *tīfai fai* in the mid-1970s was through the only scholarly article that had been written about the subject (O’Reilly 1959). In 1978, when I embarked on a year of fieldwork to learn about *tīfai fai* and other regional forms of Polynesian “quilts”,⁶ I was not surprised, after reading O’Reilly’s work, to find that most *tīfai fai* were locked away in chests and armoires in people’s homes, removed to be draped over a guest’s bed or displayed on furniture, beds and walls in homes for holidays when the presence of many visitors turned people’s homes into a more public setting. *Tīfai fai* were typically not for daily use. The cost of materials and the large amount of time necessary for completing a hand-sewn *tīfai fai* were significant aspects of their value. *Tīfai fai* were not created for the maker(s) themselves. They were given as special gifts, especially for family members’ rites of passage such as birthdays and weddings (some families also used them to wrap the

deceased). Sometimes people incorporated *tīfai fai* into temporary structures for gatherings associated with a rite of passage. They were also bestowed upon esteemed people in recognition of their contributions and services or given to others on special occasions such as a departure. In these situations, people often wrapped *tīfai fai* around one or more of the recipient(s). There were some church bazaars where *tīfai fai* were sold in either a completed state or, as in the case of some appliqué-style *tīfai fai*, in a basted, less expensive form. The purchaser could then finish sewing the *tīfai fai* before giving it as a gift. I saw an exposition of *tīfai fai* in Pape‘ete, Tahiti, during the 1970s when I was in French Polynesia, and then, as now, some *tīfai fai* that were on display could be purchased.

The proliferation of *tīfai fai* in public venues that I encountered when I returned to French Polynesia for short periods of time in 2010 and 2014, and six months respectively in 2013 and 2017, initially astonished me since many who discussed *tīfai fai* with me in 1977–78 predicted that the textiles’ creation seemed doomed. This opinion was based on an increasing number of younger women seeking employment outside the home who also expressed a disinterest in spending time making *tīfai fai*. When I first returned after thirty years, much had changed. Rather than having to seek out individuals at home to discuss their views about *tīfai fai* and asking to see a household’s *tīfai fai*, I was able to connect with the organisation Te Api Nui O Te Tīfai fai (The Renewal of Tīfai fai), created in 1997–98 with the sole mission of “promoting, preserving and protecting” *tīfai fai*. The Service de l’Artisanat Traditionnel de Polynésie française (Government Office for Traditional Arts and Crafts of French Polynesia), founded in 1984, was another important source of information and connection. Through these two organisations, I met and interviewed women who had travelled abroad with their *tīfai fai* to international expositions. In 2011 I travelled to California to meet with members of Te Api Nui O Te Tīfai fai who attended the International Pacific Festival of Quilts and displayed some of their *tīfai fai* at the de Young Museum of San Francisco. I attended Te Api Nui O Te Tīfai fai’s two-week salons of *tīfai fai* held in Pape‘ete, Tahiti, in 2013 and 2014. During the salons, I had conversations with many of the artisans and their visitors. I attended a number of events in which *tīfai fai* were displayed, and I saw photos of *tīfai fai* in local media of newspapers, magazines and television. Most revelatory of changes in *tīfai fai* creation and use was the frequency with which *tīfai fai* were displayed in public places. It quickly became apparent that *tīfai fai* were playing key performative roles in communicating ideas of cultural heritage that encompassed not only the cultural legacy of *tīfai fai* themselves but also, more broadly, ideas about cultural legacy and heritage as a whole.

While former traditions remain strong, such as bestowing *tīfai fai* on family members for rites of passage and decorating the home for special occasions (with some households displaying *tīfai fai* in homes on a more frequent basis), the role that *tīfai fai* play in the present has greatly expanded past practices of using *tīfai fai* in public arenas. Over the past 40 years, the textiles have increasingly figured in the changing circumstances of islanders, and today they often perform in public venues as visible expressions of Mā'ohi cultural heritage and identity. In political and cultural events, contests to commemorate public anniversaries, and expositions both in French Polynesia and abroad, *tīfai fai* are prominently and proudly displayed. Some are permanently hung in public buildings; others are displayed for varying lengths of time in temporary or permanent structures in the islands. Some *tīfai fai* are transported abroad by their creators to be shown in international textile exhibitions. Some are gifted or sold to international museums and other institutions that place them in gallery settings. In public demonstrations of *tīfai fai* creation and in public ceremonies of giving *tīfai fai* to notable people through the ritual of wrapping the honoured person, *tīfai fai* extend and expand upon past practices that have long been associated with the textiles. The new and enlarged performative modes of display are augmented by the proliferation of public images of *tīfai fai* through print and digital media. The contemporary performative role of *tīfai fai*, to employ Clifford's use of the term “indigenous articulation”, demonstrates the various ways in which material culture can be combined with local needs, events and practices. As Clifford states, “[t]raditions articulate, selectively remember and connect pasts and presents” (2001: 475). Contemporary public *tīfai fai* displays and uses simultaneously reference past understandings associated with *tīfai fai*, even as they transform the social environment through innovative and strategic performances that shape contemporary meanings about *tīfai fai*, Mā'ohi cultural heritage and islander identities. Social, economic and political influences have all impacted indigenous French Polynesians' ideas of cultural heritage, and these are creatively articulated in the performative, public roles of *tīfai fai* in French Polynesia.

This article is divided into two interrelated parts. In the first, I describe and discuss various public contexts in which contemporary *tīfai fai* perform heritage. Using the display of objects in museums as an example, Kerlogue notes that the role of displayed things can be seen as “analogous to that of an actor [and] their time in an exhibition as a stage performance” (2004: viii). As actants themselves in interaction with *tīfai fai*, people who make, display, give, receive or otherwise interact with *tīfai fai* in public events and places are themselves engaged in performative acts. The decisions and acts of those who are involved in the displays of *tīfai fai* are, to borrow from Kerlogue's

performative analysis (2004: 3), engaged in the cultural constructs of social practices. In some contexts, the creators of *tīfai fai* are expected to explain the intended messages they intend *tīfai fai* to convey. The co-constitutive acts of *tīfai fai* creating artisan identities and artisans creating *tīfai fai* meanings are easily detected in these circumstances. Artisans' public performances of creating *tīfai fai* in some venues constitute another way in which *tīfai fai* and people are co-constitutive of one another. However, other performances are equally rich and may involve a wide range of people's motivations, emotions and actions. For example, *tīfai fai* may impact people by eliciting feelings of pride, sparking memories, inducing nostalgia, inspiring creativity, fuelling imaginaries of the past and present, or any combination of these and other outcomes.

In the second part of the article, I present highlights from the biography of *tīfai fai* that illustrate the co-constitutive nature of societal, economic and political changes within the textile tradition of *tīfai fai*. By contextualising the "life story" of *tīfai fai* within the actions of people, government policies, economic circumstances and other impactful phenomena, I hope to present a background to reasons that cultural heritage is being extensively performed with *tīfai fai* in French Polynesia today.

PUBLIC PERFORMANCES

Expositions and Contests

The most numerous venues for *tīfai fai* performance of islanders' cultural heritage are expositions and contests, often with the two performance forms combined. Every year since its founding, the organisation Te Api Nui O Te Tīfai fai has mounted a combined exhibition and competition called Salon du Tīfai fai. The two-week event takes place in rooms within governmental buildings, usually in the *mairie* 'city hall' of French Polynesia's capital, Pape'ete, Tahiti. Anyone from French Polynesia who belongs to an artisan organisation and submits a hand-sewn *tīfai fai* that conforms to a given year's theme may participate and compete for a cash prize. The themed *tīfai fai* are fully displayed as hangings, and prizes are awarded at the end of the salon period (Fig. 1). While the main activity of the salon is for participants to sell completed or basted *tīfai fai*, the display of the themed *tīfai fai* is the most important performative aspect of the event. Attendees to the salon view the themed *tīfai fai*, and people may see images of the salon on television and in newspapers. As Varutti (2015: 1042) notes, visual display and performance constitute one of the four important strategies and discourses for artisans to engage in the act of "crafting heritage", and she asserts that making material culture visible in display is "a recurrent strategy deployed by artisans to communicate value and authenticity".



Figure 1. Some of the thematic *tīfai fai* with ‘ape designs hang on a wall in the Pape‘ete town hall during the 2013 Salon du Tīfai fai. Assorted finished and basted *tīfai fai* of different sizes and with various designs and colours are displayed on the tables. Photograph by the author.

Another annual setting for the performance of *tīfai fai* is that of the Heiva Rima‘ī, an artisans’ event held in July. During the two-week fair, artisans show and sell handwork made from materials regarded as traditional—shells, pearls, pandanus, coconut, wood and cloth.⁷ Competitions centre on creatively addressing an annual theme which typically celebrates the island environment, the traditional way of life, islanders’ skills and knowledge or some combination of these subjects. Many plants long associated with utilitarian and aesthetic purposes have inspired themes that celebrate environmental resources and islanders’ extensive knowledge of ways to use them. Past themes have included “Medicinal Trees”, “Fruits from Under the Ground” (i.e., tubers) and “The Season of Abundance”. Other themes have been “Birds and Flowers” and “Fishing”. Participants sometimes hang a *tīfai fai* that features thematic content as a decorative element of their booth.

The *tīfai fai* contest of the Heiva Rima‘ī consists of a small team or a pair of people who are presented with fabric to fashion an appliqué *tīfai fai* to the basted stage. Tables are provided for the artisans to use as they design

the upper layer of the *tīfai fai*, either using a pattern they have brought or drawing freehand on the fabric. The design layer is cut out, placed on the background fabric, pinned and finally basted. At the end of the allotted time over a two-day period, the competitors' work is judged by a group of older women knowledgeable about *tīfai fai*. They assess each team's creation in terms of the criteria of an appropriate design for the theme and the *tīfai fai*'s artistic merits. One of the artisans of each team provides an explanation for the choice of elements within the design. For example, at the 2013 Heiva Rima'ī contest with the theme "The Many Values of the Coconut Tree", Elvina Beauvilain explained the *tīfai fai* that she and her partner created. It featured two coconut trees and other elements such as sprouting coconuts, coconut frond baskets and a house with a coconut-leaf roof. Expounding on the many uses of the coconut tree may be regarded as a "private performance" for the jury members only, but the actual construction of a *tīfai fai* to its basted stage is a performance that anyone attending the Heiva Rima'ī can view.

Other such performances of fashioning *tīfai fai* are often associated with contests, as, for example, a competition held in Pape'ete in 2010 to celebrate the capital's 120th anniversary. Organisers called for *tīfai fai* designs that would honour the city's name (which translates to 'Water Basket') and reference a story, legend or historical event associated with Pape'ete's springs, pools or rivers in its design. The winning entry depicted the pool where Queen Aimata Pōmare IV bathed. The queen's face and hair appeared in the centre, and the pool was surrounded by birds, leaves, ferns and flowers known to have existed during her lifetime (1813–1877).

Whether a contest allows for artisans to create a *tīfai fai* before the contest is convened (as for the *tīfai fai* salons of Te Api Nui O Te Tīfai fai) or includes the actual process of completing steps in its creation as spectators watch, the contest *tīfai fai* are always hung for a specified period of time so that they may be viewed and admired. Performing as actants, the displayed *tīfai fai* communicate the vitality of the *tīfai fai* tradition, themes associated with Polynesian values, the ongoing significance of *tīfai fai* as Polynesian art and the public role of *tīfai fai* as heritage objects. Their display, and the display of those making the *tīfai fai*, if that is part of a competition, may encourage people to make *tīfai fai* themselves.

Designs and Motifs

Since *tīfai fai* have been created for over 150 years, any *tīfai fai* is part of the *tīfai fai* tradition and may project a message of the persistence of the tradition for those who know something of the history of *tīfai fai*. A single *tīfai fai*, then, can perform a role of referencing all *tīfai fai*. The choice of motifs for specific *tīfai fai* is also a means of relaying messages about cultural heritage,

the history of changes to the *tīfaifai* tradition, and specific practices and things associated with Mā'ohi cultural heritage. I turn now to a consideration of motifs on appliqué *tīfaifai*, since much of the performative role of *tīfaifai* is centred in makers' choices of motifs and other design elements.

Several years after its founding, Te Api Nui O Te Tīfaifai adopted a practice that has strengthened *tīfaifai* messages about cultural patrimony on two fronts. Every other year, the organisation's designated theme for the contest-entry *tīfaifai* is one of the older, “classic” *tīfaifai* designs, regarded as traditional, that were prevalent in earlier decades. This is done, as the organisation's president Béatrice Legayic explained to me, in order to protect and encourage the ongoing creation of former *tīfaifai* designs (pers. comm., 14 March 2013). In 2011, the theme “Tīfaifai d'antan” (*Tīfaifai* of Yore) could be addressed by salon participants by using the motifs of royal crowns (symbols of the Tahitian Pōmare Dynasty), handheld fans such as those island women make and use at church services, or hanging oil lamps of the kind seen in early Christian churches in the islands. The designated 2013 salon theme was a reproduction of older *tīfaifai* with an ‘ape design; ‘ape plants are part of a group of plants that have been used for various purposes. The theme for 2015 was the design “Te Moemoeā nō Iotefa” (Joseph's Dream), a biblical design incorporating symbols of the famous dream: stars, moons and sheaves of wheat. In an interview for an article entitled “Tīfaifai, Treasure of Polynesian Heritage”, in *Hiro'a, Journal d'informations culturelles* (2015), Legayic stated: “It is a biblical theme which reminds us that the *tīfaifai*, in the past, were used in a precise way, for important events. During religious celebrations, they were, for example, in a prominent place in the church”. In 2017 the theme for a classic design was a head garland (*hei*) made of *maire*, a kind of fern that grows in many valleys. *Maire hei* are still worn for festive occasions.

As these stipulated motifs demonstrate, by using older designs, contemporary *tīfaifai* can perform what *tīfaifai* makers of the past thought worthy of depicting. The textiles simultaneously pay homage to older *tīfaifai* and to those who made and used them. Such contemporary *tīfaifai* embody ideas, values and references that are reinvoked by being recreated and represented. For example, the *tiare Tahiti* ‘Tahitian flower’ design incorporates the fragrant white flower that is indigenous to the Society Islands and considered French Polynesia's “national” flower.⁸ Older *tīfaifai* designs with this flower often included motifs of both buds and open flowers arranged to resemble the circular *hei* ‘neck or head garland’ made from the flowers and worn by islanders in the past, as well as today, for a variety of occasions. The connotations associated with the flower, transferred to the design on a *tīfaifai*, also include wearing an individual *tiare Tahiti* behind the left or right ear to denote a wearer's romantic relationship status and the use of the flowers to scent coconut oil, used on the body and hair.

Older *tīfai fai* designs are created by folding the top, appliqué layer of material into fourths and then cutting the design to reveal a rotational, four-part symmetrical design that is sewn to the backing fabric. The different colours chosen for the top design layer and the backing layer are typically contrastive (such as red on white, white on green, or red on yellow). However, some *tīfai fai* creators take advantage of the increased colour choices now available and may even use two shades of the same colour. Te Api Nui O Te Tifai fai does not require that creators use vintage fabric or sew lengths of cloth together to achieve the correct size for the top and bottom layers of the textile, as was once necessary. In other words, although older designs are featured every other year in the Salon as a way to honour their creation in the past and preserve their memory, current practices demonstrate that even “old-style” *tīfai fai* can be modified within the continuous tradition of *tīfai fai*-making as a whole.

Other contemporary *tīfai fai*, including the themed *tīfai fai* of Te Api Nui O Te Tifai fai’s contests on alternate years to the traditional designs, often draw upon the pictorial aspects of what are called *tableau tīfai fai* (Hammond 2015). This style typically displays a scene made from different pieces of cloth of various colours appliquéd to a background cloth. The pieces are shaped to resemble what they depict, and colour choices are often made with realism in mind. Unlike the rotational, four-part symmetrical designs of older-style textiles, *tableau tīfai fai* motifs may be asymmetrical and usually have a top-to-bottom orientation to “read” the design.

From their prominent emergence in the 1980s, when artisan leaders challenged *tīfai fai* makers to create new work by requiring conformity to a theme or encouraged creators to devise new subject matter, *tableau* (or *création*) *tīfai fai* have facilitated more narrative work. These kinds of appliqué *tīfai fai* contrast with those with older designs, a majority of which depicted only one kind of flower or plant. It should be noted, however, that some precedents existed for the storytelling function of many contemporary *tīfai fai* in some of the older-style appliqué designs. Examples include “Joseph’s Dream”, performing a message of islanders’ embrace of Christianity as well as the biblical story; the *tiare ‘apetahi* flower design, linked with a legend of two lovers who were separated; and the *tiare Tahiti* flower with its many associations with islanders’ celebrations.

What is especially noteworthy about many of the newer motifs of *tableau*-style appliqué *tīfai fai* is that they express ideas about islanders’ cultural heritage. Many scenes depict the close relationship of Polynesians to their environment and its resources, those elements that are increasingly being recognised worldwide as societies’ environmental or natural patrimony. For example, some early themes of the Te Api Nui O Te Tifai fai salons that inspired the creation of *tableau tīfai fai* included “The Riches of the Sea”,

“The Valleys” and “The Birds of My Island” (Fig. 2). Other *tableau tīfai fai*, whether created for the salon or not, show former or ongoing distinctively Polynesian activities and objects associated with cultural heritage. *Tableau tīfai fai* frequently display iconic cultural items such as outrigger canoes and houses constructed from natural materials, as well as cultural activities such as fishing, making music and fashioning flower garlands. A distinctive *tīfai fai* created by the renowned artisan Aline Amaru performs as a reflexive statement about the significance of *tīfai fai* and *tīfai fai* creators. The *tableau*-style *tīfai fai* depicts Amaru herself sewing a *tīfai fai*. In another *tīfai fai* by Amaru, royalty of the Tahitian Pōmare Dynasty (1788–1880) are depicted (Fig. 3). This *tīfai fai*, now owned by the Queensland Art Gallery, was declared “*un patrimoine*” (a legacy object) by one of the staff of the Government Office of Traditional Arts and Crafts during a conversation I had with personnel about *tīfai fai* and cultural heritage (pers. comm., 19 April 2013).



Figure 2. A *tīfai fai* created by Virginie Biret with birds of Tahiti hangs in the reception area of the Pape‘ete residence provided to French High Commissioners when they serve in French Polynesia. Photograph by the author, 2013.

In 2014 the Salon du Tīfaifai featured competition *tīfaifai* based on whatever participants wished to create. Many of the *tīfaifai* were identifiably linked with cultural heritage understandings, but even some that on first viewing might have seemed to have little to do with cultural heritage were linked with the past. For example, Elsa Tahī explained her *tīfaifai* with three horses by referring to ways horses figured in islanders' past lives as forms of transportation and entertainment through horse races (pers. comm., 29 April 2014).



Figure 3. A *Tīfaifai* by Aline Amaru , La Famille Pomare (Pa'oti style) 1991, Queensland Art Gallery Collection. This *tableau*-style *tīfaifai* depicts the rulers of the Pōmare Dynasty and was inspired by a woodcut by Jean- François Favre. Symmetrical *nana'o* cut-out designs are worked into the top layer of fabric and serve as a frame for the central area that display the royal figures, their titles and years of their lives. Photograph courtesy of QAGOMA.

Benjamin Rangivaru, one of the few men who has exhibited his work in the Salon, designed a *tīfaifai* that his mother hand-sewed. It portrayed Te Ariki Munanui, the legendary king or chief of Hao in the Tuamotu Islands, the island of origin for Rangivaru and members of his family. On various days during the Salon, renditions of the legend of the chief were recounted to me, to the jury and to a television crew reporting on the Salon. Rangivaru named the different figures in the design for me and told me of their relationships to Te Ariki Munanui. He also explained why he depicted the giant chief as kneeling, an action which raised dust and sent birds flying upwards, as depicted on the *tīfaifai*. When a local television crew filmed Rangivaru’s *tīfaifai*, Riakina Teikipupuni, his mother, broke into a song about the chief in her indigenous language of the Tuamotu Islands.⁹ On another occasion, two of Rangivaru’s relatives came to see the *tīfaifai* and brought a guitar with them. They, Rangivaru and his mother sang two songs about the chief that detailed his exploits. Later, Rangivaru told me that the first song was about the hero becoming a king and the second was a song of a battle that the hero and his warriors waged (pers. comm., 30 April 2014). In these instances, the performance of the *tīfaifai* sparked human performances of songs related to the *tīfaifai*’s subject matter.

Emilienne Wohler’s *tīfaifai* of four environmental elements—fire, air, water and earth—took first prize in the 2014 Salon. Since Wohler embroidered the names of the elements in French and depicted the elements themselves as female Polynesian figures, she combined Western and Polynesian influences shaping islanders’ lives. The four figures, one in each quadrant, dominate the *tīfaifai*, thereby emphasising and celebrating Polynesian mythological figures and legends. In her account of the different aspects of her *tīfaifai*, she explained connections among the elements as a cycle. In my fieldnotes of 26 April 2014, I described her account:

She pointed out that each female figure was holding something. Air is Vahine Tāhirihihi (‘Fan Woman’, legendary on the island of Tahiti) and she holds a fan. The wind fans the flames of the fire. The fire figure holds aloft a flame and water puts out the fire. Water, represented by the mermaid-like creature (*mokorea* or *meherio*), is holding a pearl. Water allows the earth (shown as a tree holding a sprouting coconut) to give life. She said the cycle can also be understood in terms of a life cycle. She spoke of the little rocks at the end of the hair filaments of the fire figure as a kind of lava called Pele’s tears (Pele is the volcano goddess associated with the Hawaiian Islands).

Not all recent innovations in *tīfaifai* designs and motifs are tied to a *tableau* style of *tīfaifai*. In 1997, the Tamarii artisan couple from the Marquesas Islands introduced a new appliqué design based on Marquesan carving designs (Hammond 2014: 60). Drawing upon her husband’s carving designs executed

in wood and stone, Emma Tamarii “translated” them into cloth. From the beginning, some *tīfai fai nana* ‘o ‘sculpted *tīfai fai*’ have been made by folding the design layer of cloth in fourths, in the manner of traditional appliqué designs. Other *nana* ‘o designs are juxtaposed with pictorial *tableau* elements as seen in Amaru’s Pōmare family *tīfai fai* (Fig. 3). The cross-reference of one expressive medium to another artistic form underlined the celebration of many Mā‘ohi visual forms of expressive culture as part of cultural heritage in the latter part of the 21st century. *Tīfai fai nana* ‘o quickly gained popularity with other *tīfai fai* artisans and probably provided some of the impetus for more motifs in *tableau tīfai fai* of carved sculptures, wooden bowls and drums—objects historically associated with male artisans.

Display Sites: Special Events and Permanent Placements

An illustration of the innovative ways that *tīfai fai* may be used to invoke the past and foreground cultural heritage occurred in Pape‘ete in April 2017, when *Hine*, a Tahiti-based magazine for women, hosted an evening themed “Belle Époque” to coincide with the Festival Hōho‘a Nui, an exhibition of photography in large format. In addition to a style show of clothing reminiscent of island dresses worn during the 1940s to the 1970s, a photo scene was arranged for female guests to pose in the vintage-replica dresses they were encouraged to wear. There they could be photographed seated in an ornate, carved love seat and later receive a mock cover of the magazine featuring their image. A beautiful traditional appliqué *tīfai fai* with a floral design was hung on the wall behind the loveseat to complete the emulated historical scene. For many locals, this arrangement could call to mind a well-known 1930s photograph of Queen Marau, wife of Pōmare V, last ruling monarch of Tahiti, seated before a *tīfai fai* hung behind her chair (see Hammond 2014: 47). Then, as now, a displayed *tīfai fai* behind a person could convey an identity linked to island culture and the prestige of owning such a valuable and treasured object. However, the *Hine* “photo studio” with a borrowed *tīfai fai* for the posers emphasised the nostalgic imaginary of a past period in the islands’ history.

Another example of the performative role of *tīfai fai* in a specific context that clearly referenced cultural legacy in general and *tīfai fai* specifically may be cited in a 2013 contest centred on schoolchildren’s performance of ‘ōrero, traditional oratory in indigenous Reo Mā‘ohi (the language previously referred to as Tahitian). Two *tīfai fai* were hung at the back of a stage where the children, dressed in costumes as Mā‘ohi forbearers, delivered memorised short speeches as part of educational programming intended to revitalise or introduce indigenous language learning to island youth. The stage itself was decorated with items associated with Polynesian culture such as pandanus hats, shell necklaces, wooden sculptures and drums. Given their size and placement, two *tīfai fai* hung at the back of the stage were prominent features



Figure 4. *Tīfai fai* decorate the back of a stage at an ‘*orero*’ oratory competition for youth held in Paea, Tahiti, in 2013. Photograph courtesy of Caroline Valentin.

of the performances (Fig. 4). Juxtaposed with other Polynesian objects, the *tīfai fai* were part of the cultural legacy the whole event celebrated. In addition, the designs on the *tīfai fai* evoked the traditional in two ways. One *tīfai fai* was made in the “classic” style of an appliquéd floral design. The other, of the newer *tableau* style, presented a grouping of motifs representing such traditional artefacts as a warrior’s spear, a *ti’i* ‘humanoid carving’, a *tu’i* or *penu* ‘stone beater for making the food called *poi*’, a drum and a canoe paddle. The prominent display of both *tīfai fai* (which could perhaps be further interpreted as a nod to both female and male participants in the programme since flowers are especially associated with femininity and the objects of the other *tīfai fai* with masculinity) were part of the message of tradition being passed to the younger generation.

Since women have always been the main creators of *tīfai fai*,¹⁰ the textiles can communicate much about their makers’ identities as women, as well as societal expectations and developments that involve women in Tahitian society (see Hammond 1986b). *Tīfai fai* are sometimes used as a backdrop for beauty contests or hung in a setting where beauty contest competitors are

photographed. Colourful and associated with the womanly arts of beautifying the home and creating gifts for kin that emphasise familial ties, the *tīfai fai* serve as symbols of long-established feminine roles in the culture. The presence of the textiles simultaneously reinforces the identity of the candidates as young women connected to and proud of their culture.

The many miniature appliqué *tīfai fai* that are framed and hung along the corridors of the maternity ward of Tahiti's very modern hospital may be interpreted as signalling cultural heritage and the role of women as mothers (Figs 5a and 5b). As one woman explained to me, for her, the small, decorative textiles called to mind the once more common practice of a woman creating a *tīfai fai* for her unborn child during her nine-month pregnancy (pers. comm., 14 March 2017). Placed within the modern maternity ward, the miniature *tīfai fai* may also transmit a message about cultural heritage and cultural continuity: despite changes in society, cultural identity and knowledge of



Figures 5a and 5b. The two miniature *tīfai fai* pictured here (measuring 58 cm × 68 cm in their frames) are among more than 25 miniature *tīfai fai* of different designs and colours that hang in the halls of the maternity ward of the Ta'one Hospital in Pirae, Tahiti. The *tīfai fai* on the left presents the *tiare 'apetahi* design which, for many islanders, evokes a well-known legend of two lovers from the island of Ra'iātea, the only place where the flower grows. The *tīfai fai* on the right features turtles and dolphins. Marine animals, associated with Mā'ohi culture and environment, have been incorporated into *tīfai fai* designs much more frequently in recent decades than in the past. Photograph by the author, 2017.

cultural values are essential for future generations.

Performance of cultural heritage is enacted through other *tīfaifai* hung in public or semi-public places, as, for example, the beautiful floral-design *tīfaifai* displayed behind the main desk of the library of the University of the South Pacific (Fig. 6). In that location, the *tīfaifai* can play the actant role of signalling messages about the artistic achievements of Polynesians, their creativity, their love of natural beauty and their pride in their culture. Given the many associations of *tīfaifai* with the past, particularly in their roles as gifts to family members and objects of great value to bestow on others, the prominently displayed *tīfaifai* is capable of evoking many nostalgic and identification-with-place emotions. Situated where all will see it, the *tīfaifai* may even be said to “brand” the institution as Polynesian.

A broad message of Polynesian cultural heritage is also established in other contexts where *tīfaifai* are displayed. More specific and nuanced understandings depend on context and associations that viewers may bring



Figure 6. A *tīfaifai* with a hibiscus (*'aute*) design is hung in the library of the University of the South Pacific, Tahiti. Photograph by the author, 2013.

to the presence of displayed *tīfai fai*. For example, several *tīfai fai* grace the walls of reception areas in the Pape‘ete home established for all the French High Commissioners who reside in the capital of French Polynesia during their tenure (see Fig. 2). Visitors to the French High Commissioner’s home may be reminded of the cultural legacy of *tīfai fai*, the birds who live in the islands (depicted on a *tableau*-style *tīfai fai*) and the generosity of Mā‘ohi to non-islanders (labels that appear next to the *tīfai fai* explain their gift status).

In the annual March celebrations of the 1797 arrival of missionaries from the London Missionary Society, members of the Mā‘ohi Protestant Church in Pape‘ete display *tīfai fai* in an arena where speeches, songs and dances are performed. Press coverage of the event often includes images of the impressive textiles. Participants in the religious celebration may connect the historical arrival of cloth and *tīfai fai* creation with Christian influences, but, as with other interactions with *tīfai fai* is a wide range of contexts, individual ideas proliferate. One participant in the 2013 celebration, for example, told me that the *tīfai fai*, which were mostly of the traditional style with floral designs, served to remind participants of the beauty of the earth, a theme used in the event’s programme that year (pers. comm., 5 March 2013).

Messages imparted by *tīfai fai* displayed in photographs may also be linked with ideas of cultural heritage. In a 2016 publicity photograph promoting 28 November as Polynesian Language Day, the visual juxtaposition of the hosts of *Fare Mā‘ohi*, a television programme conducted in Reo Mā‘ohi, stood in front of a *tīfai fai*. The image served to emphasise both the language and the textiles as elements of Mā‘ohi cultural legacy (*Air Tahiti Magazine* 2016).

As symbols of Mā‘ohi cultural heritage, *tīfai fai* are potent political statements about identity that can operate outside of French Polynesia. *Tīfai fai* have been displayed at venues where touring French Polynesian dance groups perform, given to French Polynesian sister cities and donated as political presents to museums. In 2013, for example, two *tīfai fai* by Emma Tamarii, one of the most highly acclaimed *tīfai fai* makers of French Polynesia, were included among the items that President Flosse took to Paris to donate to the Musée du quai Branly (Quai Branly Museum) as representative of the superior artisanal work of the people of French Polynesia. The Pōmare Family *tableau*-style *tīfai fai*, previously mentioned, is owned by the Queensland Art Gallery, and the British Museum in London owns two Tahitian *tīfai fai*. When *tīfai fai* are included in museum holdings outside of French Polynesia, they simultaneously perform the legacy of a rich textile tradition and convey something of Polynesian cultural identities. When *tīfai fai* are exhibited in international quilt expositions, they can impart the same messages.

People Performing with Tīfai fai

Sometimes direct human interaction with a *tīfai fai* is part of the performance of cultural heritage. One of the most significant and early ways in which *tīfai fai* replaced an important ritual use of barkcloth, the indigenous fabric made by islanders, was in the presentation of a *tīfai fai* to an honoured recipient by wrapping it around the recipient. Just as islanders once enveloped European explorers in barkcloth, today they encircle high-status people and those honoured for special contributions and achievements with a gift *tīfai fai* (de Chazeaux and Frémy 2012; Hammond 1986a) (Fig. 7). *Tīfai fai* bestowed on dignitaries and others carry a heightened message of pride in cultural traditions and Polynesian identities, as well as a personal message for a recipient. When a young Mā’ohi woman won an international title in tae kwon do in 2013, for example, she was honoured for her achievement by the French Polynesian President who bestowed a *tīfai fai* upon her that was wrapped around her body. Couples are sometimes enveloped together in a *tīfai fai* as part of their wedding celebration. In any wrapping scenario,



Figure 7. A *tīfai fai* is wrapped around Ericka Bareights, the French Minister of Overseas Territories who administers all French territories outside of France, on the occasion of her visit to the Tuamotu atoll of Ahe in 2017. Photograph courtesy of Marie Guitton.

the joyful interaction of donors and recipients is part of the performative aspect. The ongoing public display of *tīfai fai* in this manner derives much of its power from the fact that it links the present with both the pre-contact and post-contact pasts.¹¹

As discussed earlier, a well-known historical practice is that of reserving *tīfai fai* for use on beds, other furniture and walls of a home for special events or holidays when guests are expected; they are also placed on an overnight guest's bed as a way to respect a visitor. Children learn that family members are not to sit on *tīfai fai* when the textiles are brought out on such occasions; only an honoured guest can sit on a *tīfai fai*. Therefore, in July 2017, when a divan was covered with a *tīfai fai* and placed at one end of the public performance area where awards were announced for the year's annual Heiva celebration of dances and song performances, an expectation was generated that someone would be invited to sit on the *tīfai fai*. Coco Hotahota, the highly esteemed and ageing director of the dance troupe Temaeva, accompanied by two of his closest friends, was summoned to sit on the *tīfai fai* to hear praise for his decades of artistic contributions.

Yet another performative, public role in which people directly engage with a *tīfai fai* and *tīfai fai* maker(s) is in the demonstration of making *tīfai fai*. Occasions for demonstrations are sometimes linked with contests, as previously discussed. These contests not only highlight creators' savoir-faire but also, since onlookers can watch the entire process, may encourage others to create *tīfai fai* themselves or at least purchase a basted one to complete. Occasionally, demonstrations of creating an appliqué *tīfai fai* occur at Salon du Tīfai fai and in the sellers' area of the Tahiti Tourisme building or at other Tahiti Tourisme events.

Other occasions and venues are more pointedly planned with the objective of teaching others how they can carry on a time-honoured tradition. Schoolchildren are sometimes introduced to *tīfai fai*-making as part of their cultural heritage curriculum (Fig. 8), and adults, as well, have been given opportunities in conjunction with cultural heritage days held at the Musée de Tahiti et des Îles (Museum of Tahiti and the Islands). The demonstrations are sometimes expanded into opportunities for children and adults to perform steps of making a *tīfai fai* with someone guiding them.

A final example of a performative role with an interactive component comes from a "beauty contest" for older women. In June 2013, a Miss Mama Contest for older women was held in Vaitape, Bora Bora. The rules required that each of the eight contestants present something for a talent section. Most women danced, but one woman, with the aid of her granddaughter, created a *tableau vivant* 'living scene' in which she sat on a large rattan chair sewing a *tīfai fai* as her grandchild stroked the grandmother's cheek lovingly. The



Figure 8. Elementary schoolchildren from *École Tuterai Tāne* watch a young woman working on a *tīfaifai* as part of a training program under the guidance of renowned *tīfaifai* creator Emma Tamariri. The children’s visit was part of the Heritage Week programme for their school. Photograph by the author, 2013.

local and mostly older audience knew exactly how to interpret this scene: the *tīfaifai* was being created to bestow upon the granddaughter at some future date. In a perfect act of art imitating life (the *tīfaifai* on the stage might well be the *tīfaifai* intended for the girl’s future marriage), the scene communicated the vitality of an ongoing tradition (Fig. 9).

Most of the performances of *tīfaifai* discussed to this point are primarily for local audiences. The *Salon du Tīfaifai* attracts many people from France who are living in the islands for a few years, but the presence of tourists is rare. However, tourism does provide two scenarios for *tīfaifai* to perform as cultural-legacy icons. Some small, family-run hotels use *tīfaifai* to decorate guest rooms or common living spaces to add to the Polynesian ambiance of their establishments. Publications promoting tourism in the islands may also report on *tīfaifai* as part of Polynesian culture. In 2013, for example, Air Tahiti featured information about Raivavae in the Austral Islands in their in-flight publication. On the magazine’s cover was a photograph of Clarisse Paulin, the owner of a newly opened pension who is also a celebrated creator of *tīfaifai*. In the article, she is shown with the pension’s bungalows



Figure 9. One of the contestants of the 2013 Miss Mama contest in Vaitape, Bora Bora, stages a *tableau vivant* or living picture as her talent contribution. She sews a *tīfai fai* as her granddaughter looks on appreciatively. Photograph courtesy of François Bossavit, Loisirs Photo Vidéo.

and the several striking *tīfai fai* she made to adorn guests' beds. Welcoming and honouring guests by placing a *tīfai fai* on their beds is an adaptation of a historical family practice within the islands. Extended to tourism, the gesture is one that references and extends a traditional custom, as well as providing Polynesian ambiance for visitors. In contrast, large hotels with many guests forgo placing *tīfai fai* on beds but sometimes hang one or two on foyer walls as decoration. Large hotels may also offer guests a workshop or demonstration of how to make a *tīfai fai* in a weekly round of activities that may include lessons on how to dance, how to create a flower garland or how to weave something from pandanus leaves and other activities associated with Polynesian cultural heritage.

With the advent of destination weddings, French Polynesian tourism's creation of "traditional" Tahitian weddings for tourists, begun in the 1990s, provides yet another context in which *tīfai fai* represent cultural heritage. Based on local practices of wrapping a newly married couple together in a *tīfai fai* during the festivities of a marriage ceremony,¹² tourists who purchase certain wedding packages may also be enveloped in a *tīfai fai* (Hammond 2017).

A CULTURAL BIOGRAPHY OF TĪFAIFAI

... it remains vital to relate the life of things, in one way or another, to the ways in which people give meaning to them. (Van Binsbergen 2005:19)

Since *tīfai*, like other objects, have agency, they may usefully be considered as having “lives” (Appadurai 1986; Kopytoff 1986). As has been asserted with respect to objects in general, the concreteness of *tīfai* at any time “emerges as a momentary point in a spectrum of making, use and dissembling that constitutes their biographies, their social lives” (Bell and Geismar 2009: 6). A biographical approach that takes into account a “succession of uses and recontextualizations of things” (Thomas 1991: 28), whether applied to a single thing or a category of things, may be examined with questions such as: What recognisable stages or ages define things’ “lives”? What marks these stages and how do things change over their lifespans? I am bolstered in my contemplation of the biography of *tīfai* by the fact that Jerry Biret, the son of the renowned *tīfai* maker Virginie Biret, told me about the way in which he and his mother had presented the story of *tīfai* and its evolving nature to attendees of an international quilt conference in Canada (pers. comm., 29 May 2013). The mother and son took several *tīfai* with them to show as they explained how *tīfai* had changed over the decades in terms of methods of construction, popular styles, variation in sizes, motifs, colour selection and other visible changes.

In what follows, I discuss what I consider to be three significant stages in the lifespan of *tīfai* as a genre of islanders’ material culture. Although I include some information about the ways in which the textiles’ appearance has changed (for more detailed information see de Chazeaux and Frémy 2012; Hammond 2014, 2015), I discuss those and other changes in the context of ways in which the social, economic and political changes in the islands of Eastern Polynesia have affected and continue to affect how islanders use and value *tīfai* in public spaces and events tied to a discourse of cultural heritage. Owing to the co-constitutive nature of people and the textiles, *tīfai* have shaped people’s thinking, actions and identities at the same time that societal changes have influenced the ways that people constitute *tīfai* within profound changes of the last century and a half.

From Origins to the 1970s

In his 1991 book *Entangled Objects: Exchange, Material Culture and Colonialism in the Pacific*, Nicholas Thomas wrote of the interest that Pacific Islanders and Europeans who encountered one another in the 18th and 19th centuries had for particular objects associated with each other’s cultures. Western cloth and Western clothing were among the gifts bestowed or goods traded by Westerners with indigenous people. Polynesians welcomed and

appreciated Western cloth for its colours, printed designs and durability. Küchler *et al.* (2005: 84) have also suggested that islanders were inspired by Western cloth's luminosity and sought to capture a special efficacy they considered it to have.

For their part, Europeans received large quantities of indigenous barkcloth as gifts from the elite of Tahiti. Scholars aboard Cook's voyages of scientific exploration recorded information about the indigenous fabric's appearance, manufacture and uses, and, since European explorers were given barkcloth, often in large quantities, some was transported to Europe as objects of scientific interest or items of "curiosity". Notable among Westerners' early observations was the fact that women of high rank took as much pride in making barkcloth as those of lower social levels, and members of the chiefly class commandeered the greatest quantities of barkcloth of the best quality. As in other areas of Polynesia, the finest barkcloth in the Society Islands was strongly associated with sanctity and was a symbol of wealth and prestige (Rose 1971; Tcherkézoff 2003, 2004). Islanders' practices of wrapping god figures and deceased members of chiefly rank in barkcloth was noted, and some of the officers on ships were honoured by being wrapped in barkcloth.

In the late 18th and early 19th centuries, both barkcloth and Western cloth existed in the Society Islands. As D'Alleva (2005) has noted, islanders' clothing sometimes combined the two. However, the manufacture of barkcloth slowed, and by the middle of the 19th century it was no longer being produced (Koojiman 1988: 22), owing to such factors as the advantages of Western cloth's durability, the prestige value of Western cloth, the destruction of wooden sculptures clothed in barkcloth and the association of Western cloth with Christianity.

Quilts, prized articles of domesticity introduced into the Pacific by Christian missionaries and likely spread, in some cases, to other Polynesian islands by Christian converts (Herda 2011: 65), inspired islanders in the Tuamotu Islands, the Austral Islands and the Society Islands to create quilt-like textiles from Western cloth. While these textiles shared much in common with Western quilts and fulfilled missionaries' expectations of useful feminine work and adornment of homes, they differed in form (lacking batting and quilting stitches), and their creation blended former barkcloth-work patterns and some ritual uses of barkcloth with the cachet of imported cloth and Western quilts.

There is no documentation to definitively establish piecework *tīfai fai*, made by sewing many geometrically shaped pieces of fabric together to create designs, as preceding appliqué *tīfai fai*. This is, however, a widely held opinion among people in the Society Islands and is bolstered by a translation of the word *tīfai fai*, usually translated as 'to patch repeatedly', since the word has a long history as a generic term to refer to both piecework (*tīfai fai pū*) and appliqué styles (*tīfai fai pā'oti*). Joining small pieces of cloth to

create a textile was probably the first, or at least primary, style of *tīfaifai*.¹³ Appliqué *tīfaifai*, made by cutting out designs to be placed on a background of contrastive coloured cloth, may have been created nearly as early as the piecework style or soon thereafter. Over time, the piecework style has been created far less often, especially in the Society Islands, primarily owing to the time-intensive labour for completing such textiles.¹⁴

Accounts and photos of *tīfaifai* from the 19th and early 20th centuries confirm a long history of prestige associated with *tīfaifai*. In some ways, the textiles extended important uses of barkcloth as gifts and as bed coverings. Utilised as decoration in homes for Christian holidays and in other structures for gatherings and weddings (Cumming 1877; Cuzent 1860), *tīfaifai* also instigated some transformations in the social environment. As articles of value to be displayed in photographs with people (O’Reilly 1975), for example, they participated in the construction of new social circumstances. These elements constituted early innovations in the *tīfaifai* tradition.

As a syncretic form combining elements of both Western and Polynesian textile traditions, it is not surprising that islanders incorporated novel designs associated with things and places outside of their region, indicating an early tendency in the life of *tīfaifai* to embrace the new. Cuzent (1860) remarked on Prussian, Russian and French imperial eagle designs that she saw on *tīfaifai* in 1858. Lovina Chapman, the daughter of a sea captain, brought Hawaiian quilts to the Society Islands in 1899 (possibly the first time these textile “cousins” were viewed by Mā’ohi) which, according to Hututu Salmon, created great interest (O’Reilly 1959: 167).

In his 1959 work, O’Reilly discussed the ongoing importance of *tīfaifai* to islanders. The combined meanings of value attached to *tīfaifai* as prestigious articles associated with Christianity and Western quilts (and the ways in which they extended aspects of barkcloth creation and use) undoubtedly contributed to the ongoing popularity and significance of *tīfaifai*. Like Westerners writing in the 19th and early 20th centuries, O’Reilly reported mid-20th century on the ongoing use of *tīfaifai* to adorn houses for special occasions, to serve as decoration during celebrations and to be bestowed as gifts. He also noted the kinds of motifs popular for appliqué *tīfaifai*, the most prevalent style in the Society Islands in mid-20th century (as it continues to be today). He cited many floral and plant motifs connected with the island environment, but he also discussed motifs not associated with the islands’ setting, such as a Louis XVI basket or the Cross of Lorraine (O’Reilly 1959: 170). Some of the designs for the piecework style of *tīfaifai* were, he argued, personal adaptations of tapestry popular from the Second Empire period of France (1959: 172). Contests for creating innovative designs for *tīfaifai* encouraged what has since become a strong, ongoing element within the *tīfaifai* biography—that of a constant encouragement to innovate.

In 1977–78, during my own early research on *tīfai fai*, many people told me about the importance of bestowing *tīfai fai* on family members and esteemed others, and I saw the continued presence of *tīfai fai* as decorations inside homes for New Year’s festivities and in the construction of a temporary wedding feast house. I also saw parade floats to celebrate the French national holiday (Bastille Day) that were decorated by women from the Austral Islands with their piecework-style *tīfai fai* (Hammond 1986a). Most of the designs of Society Islands appliqué *tīfai fai* I saw were variations on the floral and plant designs mentioned by O’Reilly. Garlands of *tiare Tahiti* flower designs were very common. Some motifs were of peacocks or objects such as hanging lamps and fans. I also saw a few *tīfai fai* with mermaids and those with symbols of Joseph’s Dream. In short, much of what I witnessed in the late 1970s varied little from what I had read of *tīfai fai* makers and *tīfai fai* in O’Reilly’s 1959 publication. However, in Pape‘ete, I heard of and visited the workspace of a woman who had started a small cottage industry of machine-sewn *tīfai fai* to sell. She employed a handful of young women, including some of her daughters.

The first 120-year span in the biography of *tīfai fai*, for which very little documentation exists, can perhaps best be characterised as innovatively combining elements of the past with the changing circumstances brought about through the interactions of islanders with Western explorers, traders and missionaries. *Tīfai fai* were seemingly appreciated for the ways in which they combined values and some uses of indigenous barkcloth with introduced Western cloth and quilts. They were highly valued products employed for a variety of purposes, some associated with public appearances as decoration and gifts, but the vast majority associated with the domestic sphere of creating and gifting *tīfai fai* for important rites of passage and decorating the home for guests, particularly for Christian holidays.¹⁵ While women (and some men) made both piecework-style and appliqué-style *tīfai fai* in many of French Polynesia’s islands, in the Society Islands the appliqué style was predominant by the 1950s if not earlier.

The 1980s to 2000

In contrast to the first two-thirds of *tīfai fai*’s documented history, the 20-year period of *tīfai fai* from the 1980s to 2000 may be characterised as one of extensive changes, owing to major economic, political and socio-cultural factors affecting French Polynesia. The impetus for many of those changes can be traced to the events of the 1960s and 1970s; therefore, a few facts leading up to the 20-year period provide information about the ways in which different influences combined.

In its dominating role as coloniser, France positioned itself to make decisions that were to eventually affect all islanders and residents of the

five archipelagos that became French Polynesia. In the mid-1960s, France’s decision to undertake nuclear tests in the Tuamotu Archipelago led to profound changes. The tests, conducted between 1966 and 1996, brought an influx of French military personnel and their families to French Polynesia. Infrastructural changes needed for the “urban-military complex” (Finney 1979) generated jobs, and Pape‘ete, Tahiti, the largest city of French Polynesia, began to attract people living on other islands of French Polynesia who sought wage labour and an urbanised way of life. Consumerism increased, not only because there were more goods and services but also because many people from other islands had no ancestral lands to cultivate on Tahiti.

The amplified presence of the French military, the highly controversial nuclear tests, a growing resentment of French-imposed rules and regulations, and the political actions of other Pacific Islanders once colonised by European nations all contributed to intensified political dissent and strife in French Polynesia. With the support of Greenpeace and other international organisations, islanders’ protests of the nuclear tests preceded France’s decision to grant limited autonomy to French Polynesia in 1977, increased in 1984. In 2003, French Polynesia became an overseas collectivity of the French Republic and the following year was granted administrative autonomy.

Alongside the turbulent political events of the mid-1960s and the 1970s, a renaissance of indigenous Mā‘ohi culture began to emerge, led by a number of Tahitian intellectuals who sought to ensure continuation of their Polynesian language and to resurrect various cultural practices such as tattooing, a practice abandoned under the influence of Christianity (Saura 2008: 59). Other cultural revitalisation actions in the Pacific also inspired islanders of French Polynesia. In 1976, for example, the *Hokule‘a*, a recreated Polynesian voyaging canoe, sailed from Hawai‘i to Tahiti with traditional navigational techniques. Noteworthy milestones in French Polynesia included the 1974 establishment of the Académie tahitienne (Tahitian Academy), later named Fare Vāna‘a (a Reo Mā‘ohi name meaning ‘house of oration or discourse’) to safeguard and promote the indigenous language; the 1977 establishment of the Musée de Tahiti et des Îles, also called Te Fare Manaha; and the 1981 date when Reo Mā‘ohi began to be taught in schools. In 1985, a year after French Polynesia received limited autonomy, the annual festival of dancing, singing and sports, formerly celebrated as France’s national holiday, received the name Heiva, a renaming that emphasised the celebration as a Polynesian event and signalled the growing expression of pride in Polynesian identity.

In an interesting synchronistic effect with what was occurring in French Polynesia, the 1960s in France were also associated with a rural-to-urban shift in population. This, in turn, resulted in an expanded understanding of what constituted cultural heritage (Poirrier 2003). France’s heritage consciousness began as early as the 1800s, but it was narrowly defined and

primarily centred on the preservation of monuments. However, in the 1960s under André Malraux, France's first Minister of Cultural Affairs, a general inventory of France's monuments was accompanied by an accounting of artistic treasures. The inventory, undertaken by hundreds of local voluntary workers, resulted in an expanded and broadened view of what should be counted as part of heritage. In the late 1970s under the government's Ministry of Culture and Communications, an understanding of heritage emphasised a close interrelationship between past and present and opened heritage to an ethnological approach that included local practices, skills and objects.

The new approach, later adopted by the French Polynesian government in congruence with the enlarged view in France, strengthened incentives to retrieve and practice some past traditions. In contrast to reintroduced cultural practices of the mid to late 20th century in French Polynesia, the creation and use of *tīfai fai* did not have to be resurrected as a cultural form. Some *tīfai fai* expositions and contests were staged before the 1980s, often in conjunction with the July festivities established in 1881 to celebrate the French national holiday, but the increased emphasis on artisan activities beginning in the 1980s ushered in an era of artisan centres and more competitions in crafts, including *tīfai fai*. The emphasis on cultural heritage also supported efforts to recognise the skills and knowledge needed for creating *tīfai fai*, as well as the significant roles *tīfai fai* had always played in Mā'ohi culture. Including *tīfai fai* in the discourse of *le patrimoine* or *faufa'a tupuna* helped to affirm the significance of the creation and use of the textiles in terms of Polynesian identity and cultural pride.

Some of the most profound changes for Tahiti during the latter part of the 20th century—ones that impacted other islands of French Polynesia as well—strengthened a continuation of the *tīfai fai* tradition, albeit with changes (Hammond 2014). In the challenging economic milieu of increased wage labour and population growth in Pape'ete, the global economic crisis of 1987 and an economic downturn that accompanied French withdrawal of the nuclear testing programme, many islanders sought new sources of revenue. Alongside the ongoing creation of other arts and crafts, many of which were attractive to tourists, more islanders turned to selling *tīfai fai* to other islanders and those continental French living in the islands for several years. For some women, this became part of a strategy for their families' economic well-being (Langevin 1990: 92). The commercialisation of *tīfai fai* in the latter part of the 20th century led to more machine-sewn *tīfai fai*, sometimes with simplified designs to reduce sewing time. In turn, the increased sale of local *tīfai fai* to islanders and mainland French led to importations of commercially produced *tīfai fai*-inspired textiles made in factories in Southeast Asia. These were sold at much lower prices than locally made *tīfai fai*.

Influenced by all these changes, three significant developments can be noted in the biography of *tīfaifai*, all of which were strengthened by the growing cultural heritage discourse occurring in French Polynesia. The three developments cast *tīfaifai* in a stronger public, performative role to celebrate and safeguard *tīfaifai* made in French Polynesia, and all three developments were supported by the French Polynesian government. As Dicks points out, “[t]hrough display sites, people can ensure their own traditions continue, ones which might otherwise be lost, by educating people about them” (2003: 13).

The first major development occurred in the 1980s when some of the leaders of women artisans’ cooperatives began to challenge *tīfaifai* makers to create new work. Since many contest themes encouraged the creation of pictorial scenes, the colourful *tableau*-style *tīfaifai* came into being. As detailed earlier, many of the themes associated with expositions and contests have highlighted what are considered traditional aspects of island life, whether in the form of resources, cultural practices or both. Older *tīfaifai* designs are now frequently regarded as a way of remembering and honouring the cultural heritage of the *tīfaifai* tradition itself.

The second major development, the 1997 exposition of *tīfaifai* entitled *Un siècle de tīfaifai* (A Century of *Tīfaifai*), was a watershed event. The third major development was the creation of Te Api Nui O Te Tīfaifai, built on the momentum of emphasising *tīfaifai* as cultural heritage objects. Both the second and third developments resulted in what may be described as consciously shaped heritage stances, cast within what Fienup-Riordan calls “conscious culture” (2000: 167) and Appadurai calls “culturalism” (1996: 14–15). Such heritage actions are often performed in both old and new public contexts as a response to demands and changes originating both inside and outside of indigenous communities. They may serve to mediate new circumstances and support communities’ unique identities.

Documentation exists for some public displays of *tīfaifai* in contests, church bazaars and sale exhibitions for decades before the annual artisan competitions established in the 1980s as part of the Heiva Rima‘ī, previously discussed, and the creation of the 1997 exposition *Un siècle de tīfaifai* (de Chazeaux and Frémy 2012; Hammond 1986; O’Reilly 1959). However, the 1997 event, mounted by the French Polynesian government’s Ministry of the Economy and of Artisans, in collaboration with Tahiti i te Rima Rau (a committee of traditional artisans), put *tīfaifai* squarely before the public as treasured objects and a designated part of French Polynesian cultural heritage. The attention to the longevity of the *tīfaifai* tradition in the exhibit’s collection (a few of the textiles dated to the 19th century and others represented the decades up through the 1990s) and the inclusion of both piecework- and appliqué-style *tīfaifai* signalled a historical, museum-

like retrospective. Some of the exhibited textiles were family heirlooms that had never been publicly viewed, a notable component of the exposition since many *tīfai fai* patterns were not (and are still not) shared outside of families. The familial aspect of the exhibit conveyed a message that the treasures of *tīfai fai* were a part of not only family patrimonies but of the society's cultural heritage at large. Also significant was the fact that the *tīfai fai* were not for sale, conveying the message of the collection as a public heritage to be appreciated and acknowledged.

The exhibit was followed with a book, *Tīfai fai: The Tahitian Patchwork*, which featured some of the exhibit's *tīfai fai*. Referring to the anticipated book in 1997, Georges Puchon, the Minister of the Economy and of Artisans, declared, "Thanks to this work, we can say that we are going to safeguard this cultural heritage which remains so living and creative" (Durocher 1997: 26). In the book's introduction, written by Gloaguen and Chin Foo, *tīfai fai* are identified as a family heritage that is "the memory and the expression of a feminine cultural identity". The authors also observe that "[a]s a substantial source of income today, the *tīfai fai* must remain an original adornment item made with taste and perfection. It would be wise to protect it like other art creations" (Gloaguen and Chin Foo n.d.: 7).

The book's text was written in French, English and Japanese. The three languages highlighted the fact that *tīfai fai* were not only attracting interest among French citizens living in France or those who came to the islands but also gaining a widening international following, especially among Japanese. In addition to including the specific names of the *tīfai fai* designs (usually in both Reo Mā'ohi and French), the book included large colour photographs and information regarding each *tīfai fai*'s design, age and other details. At the back of the large-format paperback, a pattern for creating a traditional appliqué *tīfai fai* was included, as well as a list of contacts for readers who wished to find *tīfai fai* in the islands.

The creation of Te Api Nui O Te Tīfai fai, the third significant development for *tīfai fai*'s role in *le patrimoine*, was largely triggered by an increasing threat of imported *tīfai fai* undermining islanders' economic efforts to supplement their income by making the textiles, as well as the recognition that factory-produced, machine-sewn *tīfai fai* could lead to a deterioration in the quality of local *tīfai fai*. Organisers of Te Api Nui O Te Tīfai fai created the association devoted specifically to *tīfai fai* and borrowed the French Polynesian government's mantra of promoting, protecting and valuing cultural items and practices—in this case, locally made *tīfai fai*.

The most visible work of Te Api Nui O Te Tīfai fai since the organisation's inception has been their annual two-week salon. Early in the organisation's existence, the members decided to purchase a particularly fine *tīfai fai* entered

into the contest each year in order to establish what they refer to as their Patrimoine Collection (Fig. 10). Some of the collection is displayed for special occasions. In 2013, for example, several were mounted for the first Festival of Traditional Artisanry. Others were hung at the 2013 Salon du *Tīfaifai* in commemoration of the association’s 15th anniversary. Some have travelled with members of Te Api Nui O Tifaifai to expositions outside of French Polynesia. It is the organisation’s plan to safeguard the collection for the public’s education and the possibility of a future permanent place to house them.

The 1980s to the year 2000 were a significant stage in the biography of *tīfaifai*, primarily due to the events that led to foregrounding *tīfaifai* as part of islanders’ cultural heritage. The social, economic and political changes that ushered in islanders’ efforts to secure greater political autonomy from France, achieve economic viability and embrace cultural revitalisation were factors that influenced *tīfaifai*’s emerging role as cultural heritage objects. In this capacity, individual *tīfaifai* and *tīfaifai* that are primarily associated with the private, domestic realm are considered to be part of people’s cultural heritage, as illustrated by the remarks of two staff members of the Government Office of Traditional Arts and Crafts as recorded in my fieldnotes for 23 April 2013:



Figure 10. The hanging *tīfaifai* are part of Te Api Nui O Te Tifaifai’s Patrimoine Collection, exhibited here in 2013 at the first Festival of Traditional Arts. Unlike the folded *tīfaifai* on the tables, the *tīfaifai* of the heritage collection are not for sale. Photograph by the author.

“It [a heritage object] is something of the ancestors and something to pass to future generations. It is like a jewel, very precious. If there is not a perpetuation of tradition, it will rupture.” To illustrate this, she [the staff member] asserted that she will pass on her *tīfai fai* to her children as *patrimoine*. To my question of whether someone can create a *patrimoine* object today, the response was a definite affirmative. As illustration, another one of the staff members said that if one did not have such things in the family before, one could create them now. This suggests that cultural heritage is associated with certain practices and phenomena that have existed in the past, but that new manifestations of behaviours and things are not only acceptable but desirable in order to keep a tradition alive.

More visibly than *tīfai fai* kept in homes, *tīfai fai* in the 1980s to 2000 were taking a larger role as items of cultural heritage in public displays. In this capacity, they began performing cultural heritage of the *tīfai fai* tradition itself, and, by extension, cultural heritage as a whole, often through specific *tīfai fai* motifs.

2000 to The Present

As the present century began, *tīfai fai*'s public performative roles increasingly encapsulated messages about cultural heritage, a development that reflects Brett's (1996: 8–9) assertion about the ways in which people may strive to recover a sense of the past and re-establish values and revitalisation practices in the wake of major changes in their society that have eroded former ways of life and traditions. People's agency, often in combination with the agency of things, may be used to recall and rearticulate the past as part of identity politics. As concrete objects, *tīfai fai*, like other textile traditions, are historical records that “capture diverse or distinctive cultural traditions and thereby serve collectively to help present the past” (Warren 2000: 68). Within the process of conscious culture or culturalism, *tīfai fai* performances in public spaces and events have coincided with other culturalism developments, such as the 2001 reintroduced fire-walking ritual that has become an annual event on Tahiti and staged reenactments of chiefly rites on Marae ‘Ārahurahu in Paea, Tahiti, developed in the first two decades of the 21st century.¹⁶

Acknowledging that the connection between heritage and identity is well established (Smith 2006: 48), Smith (2011: 80) has also observed that “[h]eritage is a cultural process or performance that is engaged with the construction and reconstruction of cultural identity, memory, sense of place and belonging”. According to her, memory is an important constitutive element of identity formation that can be particularly powerful as it “takes root” in the concrete (2006: 60). Yet the “concrete” is not unchanging. Wettstein (2016: 391) points out that a “‘manifestation of identity’ in material culture and performative events should not be understood as a fixed, static state, but can be seen as a process in permanent flux”.

In 2010, when I returned to French Polynesia to learn of changes in *tīfaifai* creation and use, some of the special purposes that linked *tīfaifai* with specific barkcloth traditions, such as wrapping honoured recipients in a *tīfaifai*, were ongoing, but often consciously contextualised within the discourse of cultural heritage. This is particularly evident in tourists’ “traditional” Tahitian weddings with a *tīfaifai* wrapping. There were also new venues for the textiles, as detailed in the first part of this article, and an enlarged emphasis on promoting the skills of *tīfaifai*-making to ensure the continuance of an established tradition. The French Polynesian government’s support of *tīfaifai* expositions, pedagogical projects with *tīfaifai* and the gifting of *tīfaifai* to organisations outside of the islands has bolstered and contributed to the cultural heritage discourse.

An increase in media coverage about *tīfaifai* creation and use has also contributed to an expanded performative role of *tīfaifai* in cultural-heritage discourse. The monthly French Polynesian government publication, *Hiro ‘a, Journal d’informations culturelles*, has featured several articles about *tīfaifai*. In 2012, two books were published in Tahiti about *tīfaifai* and *tīfaifai* artisans (de Chazeaux and Frémy 2012; Grand 2012) that have contributed to *tīfaifai*’s association with cultural heritage.

Tīfaifai mounted temporarily or permanently for public display are largely seen by island residents, not only people of Mā’ohi descent but people of other ethnicities living in French Polynesia as well. French people from continental France who move to French Polynesia for several years in conjunction with jobs are exposed to *tīfaifai* in local media and may see *tīfaifai* in expositions and other contexts. They often develop an interest in the textiles and are knowledgeable about the great value placed on *tīfaifai* by people of indigenous descent. According to *tīfaifai* makers, French people from mainland France are the main purchasers of *tableau*-style *tīfaifai* that incorporate subject matter of traditional island lifeways and island resources. Many people from mainland France purchase *tīfaifai* to take home as souvenirs of their sojourns in French Polynesia and to give to family and friends.

Some residents in the islands who are not indigenous have learned to make *tīfaifai* or buy basted *tīfaifai* which they complete. Michèle de Chazeaux and Marie-Noëlle Frémy, residents of Tahiti and authors of *Le Tīfaifai* (2012), told me that during the years when the French military presence was strong, many wives of soldiers wanted to learn to make *tīfaifai*. Their interest contributed to the general revitalisation of interest in *tīfaifai* among locals (pers. comm., 20 February 2013). In a sense, therefore, *tīfaifai* have come to be regarded as part of a cultural heritage which all residents may share.

Tourists, on the other hand, who typically visit for two weeks or less, may never see *tīfaifai* unless they stay at a hotel displaying the textiles, attend an exposition during their trip, encounter images of *tīfaifai* in the media or go to Tiki Village on Mo’orea where various arts are demonstrated. However,

a very recent development may ensure that most visitors can see a *tīfai fai* during their visit. In March 2018, a new display of “*objets du patrimoine polynésien*” (Polynesian heritage objects) (*Hiro ‘a, Journal d’informations culturelles* 2018), including a magnificent red and white traditional appliqué *tīfai fai* with a breadfruit design, was placed in the arrival area at the Tahiti airport. Miriama Bono, Director of the Museum of Tahiti and the Islands, is quoted in the *Hiro ‘a* publication as stating, “The idea is to show the evolution of heritage with a dynamic and contemporary vision” (*Hiro ‘a, Journal d’informations culturelles* 2018).

Tīfai fai makers repeatedly told me that tourists do not purchase *tīfai fai*, even if they see them being sold in an exposition. Although this may be partly due to a lack of exposure and knowledge of the significance of *tīfai fai* to islanders, the artisans also attribute it to the expense associated with *tīfai fai* (especially those hand-sewn) and the textiles’ size and weight. Thus, unlike many arts that have experienced a revival based on societies’ tourism, *tīfai fai*’s efflorescence in the present period has *not* been driven by tourism. There are, however, people from around the world who connect with *tīfai fai* artisans and order from them. Some of these connections are made in person; others are negotiated over the internet.

That *tīfai fai* are regarded as part of *le patrimoine* and communicate that message beyond the boundaries of French Polynesia may be witnessed in the acquisition of *tīfai fai* for international museum collections and in the depiction of *tīfai fai* on several postage stamps issued by the French Polynesian government and on the websites of some *tīfai fai* makers. While such phenomena instruct others outside of the islands about a link between cultural heritage and *tīfai fai*, it is common knowledge within the islands that *tīfai fai* have *always* been cherished. The skills and the textiles themselves have been literally handed down from one generation to another and interpersonal relationships celebrated with *tīfai fai* bestowed as gifts. The co-constitutive elements of *tīfai fai* and indigenous islanders continue to manifest themselves in how highly people value the textiles, the renown bestowed upon expert makers for their artistic talents and fine sewing skills, and the deep emotion communicated between those who give and those who receive *tīfai fai*.

In the period of 2000 to 2018, *tīfai fai* have “taken centre stage” in public places and events as cultural heritage objects linked with cultural identities. Building upon the foundational three events of the late 1990s—1) the theme-driven *tīfai fai* competitions that ushered in *tableau-style tīfai fai*, 2) the *Un siècle de tīfai fai* exhibit which definitively rendered *tīfai fai* as cultural legacy objects (thereby identifying creators in the co-constitutive roles as cultural heritage creators), and 3) the establishment of Te Api Nui O Te Tīfai fai, the association that emphasises *tīfai fai* as part of islanders’ cultural heritage—*tīfai fai* makers and *tīfai fai* themselves continue to co-construct each other within the cultural heritage discourse.

* * *

From the origins of *tīfaifai*, involving adoption of Western cloth and selected aspects of both Western quilts and Mā‘ohi barkcloth traditions, to the first creations of *tīfaifai* motifs that expressed islanders’ celebration of their environments as well as ideas and objects acquired from abroad, to the more recent innovations of selling *tīfaifai* and creating *tableau*-style *tīfaifai*, changes in the *tīfaifai* tradition are a result of islanders’ choices and decisions made in response to social, economic and political changes. The ways in which traditions persist by combining old and new have been articulated by many scholars including Clifford (2001), D’Alleva (2005), Hermann (2011), Kaepler (2008) and Stevenson (1990, 2002). Despite all of *tīfaifai*’s ties to the past, innovation has always permeated the *tīfaifai* tradition. However, the changes of the past 40 years in French Polynesia have resulted in islanders actively shaping some practices in the *tīfaifai* tradition that are consciously crafted assertions of Polynesian pride and identity expressed through cultural heritage. Over the past eight years, many islanders have told me that it is necessary for *tīfaifai* to change, progress and develop. They use the French word *évoluer* (to evolve, to change), pointing out that, like society itself which is undergoing transformations, the *tīfaifai* tradition should be expected to change. The new and the old are often combined though *tīfaifai*, ultimately forging a message that the past, present and future are all connected.

Just as people have constituted *tīfaifai*, so too have *tīfaifai* shared a role in shaping islanders’ identities. Dicks (2003: 126) notes that “heritage displays offer a space for the intertwining of public, exhibitionary space and private, biographical space”. The retention of some elements of *tīfaifai*’s past and the commitment to being open to future change is part of a widely held islander view of the contemporary *tīfaifai* tradition. Benjamin Rangivaru, one of the exposition participants in the 2014 Salon du Tīfaifai, told me that he never repeats a design he makes, a practice increasingly shared by many *tīfaifai* artisans today. Reflecting on present and future changes within the *tīfaifai* tradition, Rangivaru asserted that to retain the symbolic nature of *tīfaifai*, “You have to return to your own culture and all the meanings of handicraft, social relations, and heritage that you want to transmit to children. ... The work of *tīfaifai* is not superficial. It’s connected to my culture, to my personality, to my origins, to my language and to my identity. It is a heritage.” At another point in our conversation, Rangivaru stated, “For me, *tīfaifai* is culture and identity. I am still reflecting on it. It is our roots and our culture. It has a symbolic signification. *Tīfaifai* is the symbol of true social relations because before [in its early history], *tīfaifai* was not made alone. A second signification is that the *tīfaifai* unites: for example, in the envelopment of a

couple in marriage” (pers. comm., 30 April 2014). Rangivaru’s statement exemplifies Miller’s observations about the ways in which the things that people make make people (2005: 38). His comments also illustrate “the vitality of material forms and how things embody, inculcate and represent people’s ways of thinking about the world” (Bell and Geismar 2009: 4).

Given the significance of *tīfai fai* within the larger discourse of cultural heritage in French Polynesia, it is ironic that the way in which islanders understand the *tīfai fai* tradition as wholly authentic and integral to their cultural heritage is in contradiction to the absence of *tīfai fai* in many books that feature what their authors identify as traditional Pacific arts.¹⁷ This is presumably because *tīfai fai* are not cultural objects that can be traced to a “pure” tradition predating Western contact and because *tīfai fai* incorporate cloth manufactured outside of the islands that was introduced by Westerners. For islanders, the concepts of threat and risk for *tīfai fai* are not about needing to preserve specific past practices of *tīfai fai* (for example, in terms of sewing widths of fabric to create a textile of desired dimensions). Rather, they centre on allowing *tīfai fai* to evolve with the times and preventing the usurpation of locally made *tīfai fai*, particularly hand-sewn textiles, by machine-sewn and mass-produced *tīfai fai* created in Southeast Asia. As islanders sometimes pointed out to me, the imported textiles cannot embody the same spirit as those created by islanders who know the rich and varied meanings that *tīfai fai* convey.

From the time they were first created, *tīfai fai* have been an integral part of the lives of islanders in French Polynesia. As a vernacular art form, anyone, including men, could make *tīfai fai*. For many people, the textiles are still primarily associated with the home and expressive of familial ties, but over the past 30 to 40 years, *tīfai fai* have increasingly expanded their roles in public spaces and events. As detailed in this article, most of the places and events where *tīfai fai* are displayed are ones that are primarily associated with locals, a clear indication that *tīfai fai* are very meaningful to islanders. By framing older “classic” designs of *tīfai fai* as part of a cultural legacy that should be preserved, promoted and protected, sometimes through contemporary replications, and, at the same time, forwarding the more narrative *tableau*-style *tīfai fai* as part of an ongoing tradition, one in which creators often choose to fashion scenes depicting islanders’ past ways of life, legends and valued island resources, the *tīfai fai* cultural heritage discourse becomes all inclusive. Even those designs that are not associated with the Polynesian past (e.g., a *tīfai fai* made to look like a postage stamp featuring a cherry tree design, the “at” symbol @, or a design based on a greeting card with a picture of swans) can be incorporated into a *tīfai fai* tradition of cultural legacy because they exist as *tīfai fai*. As Clifford (2001: 475) has pointed out, “[t]raditions articulate, selectively remember and connect pasts and presents”.

The increased public role of the textiles in discourses of cultural heritage, I have argued, is linked to the biography of *tīfaifai* as a tradition. It is a history that includes the entwinement of *tīfaifai* with such societal changes as the augmented numbers of French citizens from mainland France living in the islands; the urbanisation and economic changes that ushered in more waged labour jobs; the political impact of France’s nuclear tests in French Polynesia; the Polynesian cultural renaissance, greatly supported by the French Polynesian government, with its emphasis on arts and crafts, music, dance and indigenous language; the influence of French heritage policies; the establishment of an organisation devoted exclusively to *tīfaifai*; and globalisation forces such as less expensive imported *tīfaifai* made outside of the islands.

Recognising the co-constitutive nature of *tīfaifai* with the people who create them and others involved with *tīfaifai* in various capacities reveals that “[m]eanings are not in the materiality of things, but rather in how things are constructed as meaningful in social practices of representation” (Storey 2017: 18). There is, as Storey (2017: 17) observes, “a simultaneous entanglement of meaning, materiality and social practice”. In French Polynesia, *tīfaifai* now enact many roles in the discourse of cultural heritage: celebrating Polynesian cultural continuity, including the intangible heritage practices of honouring people by wrapping them in *tīfaifai* and passing on knowledge and skills for making *tīfaifai*; communicating information about cultural values and expectations; shaping cultural identities; commemorating historical events; and relaying information about Polynesian ways of life. Individual *tīfaifai* or collections of *tīfaifai* placed on permanent or temporary public display often perform several of these roles at once. The proud assertion of a unique past supports islanders’ present efforts to claim and assert a strong Polynesian identity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very grateful to the people of French Polynesia who generously shared information, assistance and encouragement. To the officers and members of Te Api Nui O Te Tīfaifai, the director and employees of Le Service de l’Artisanat Traditionnel de Polynésie française, the staff of the Archives territoriales de Polynésie française and Vera Lacombe, Principal of École Tuterai Tāne, I extend my heartfelt thanks. I also wish to acknowledge the support of Western Washington University and my colleagues and the staff at the Department of Anthropology.

NOTES

1. The word *tīfai fai* is used as both a singular and a plural in this paper. In the indigenous language of Tahiti, an article preceding the word specifies whether it is singular or plural.
2. See also information on Tongan quilts in Herda 1999, 2000 and Austral Islands *'iripiti* and *tīfai fai* in Hammond 1986a.
3. All of the Eastern Polynesian quilt and quilt-like textile traditions have connections to the indigenous barkcloth traditions that preceded the introduction of Western cloth into the islands. Barkcloth (called *'ahu* or *tapa* in the Society Islands) was made extensively throughout Polynesia prior to European arrivals and is still created in Western Polynesia. Many scholars have remarked on the historical division, originating in the 19th century, between Western Polynesian societies which never abandoned the creation of barkcloth, even as they adopted Western cloth, and Eastern Polynesian societies which did. It should be noted that there is a revival of barkcloth, made in small quantities, in some of the islands of Eastern Polynesia today.
4. The more common use of the French term stems from France's influence on ideology about cultural heritage in French Polynesia, as well as the fact that islanders learn French in school and that French is widely used, especially in Tahiti where many cultural heritage events occur.
5. Performance analyses have been applied to other textile traditions such as the Hmong refugee *pa ndau* textiles and the US AIDS quilts.
6. Conventionally, quilts are defined as having a middle batting layer between an upper design surface and a lower backing layer, and quilting stitches secure all three layers together.
7. Although the cloth is imported, anything made from it is included in the general category of the traditional in the Heiva Rima'ī. Cloth (typically in the form of clothing) manufactured outside the islands was first introduced with the European voyages of discovery of the 18th century.
8. The Society Islands (of which Tahiti is one island) and the other four archipelagos of French Polynesia are an overseas collectivity of France, and islanders born in French Polynesia are French citizens.
9. See Polynésie la 1ère, "*16e salon du tīfai fai de l'imagination et des couleurs*", YouTube video, 1:19 (starting at 59 s), published 30 April 2014, accessed 29 April 2018, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O6vP8kOF2iQ>.
10. Some men have also made *tīfai fai*; O'Reilly wrote of one in his 1959 article. In my trips to French Polynesia in the 2000s, I noted that many more men were involved in *tīfai fai* creation than there were in the late 1970s, and they usually created designs that female relatives sewed. People accounted for this by saying that more people were now dependent on some income from making and selling *tīfai fai*.
11. Tcherkézoff (2004: 165) argues that Polynesians' wrapping of visitors in early contact encounters were actions that recognised the status of the other and, at the same time, were a way to envelop and incorporate whatever sacred powers the stranger possessed.

12. While *tīfaifai* are still important wedding presents for couples in Tahiti, fewer couples are wrapped in *tīfaifai* these days according to many islanders.
13. Remnants from the clothing that islanders were encouraged to make and wear, following directives of Christian missionaries in the 19th century, may have been used for some early piecework *tīfaifai*. Striking colour contrasts in both piecework and appliqué styles could be achieved through the use of solid colours as opposed to used remnants of printed cloth. *Tīfaifai* made from new cloth would also have suited the ways in which *tīfaifai* substituted for the high-quality barkcloth made and used for special purposes. See de Chazeaux and Frémy's (2012: 33–39) work for a compilation of early accounts and descriptions of *tīfaifai*.
14. Only once has the piecework style of *tīfaifai* been designated as the type of *tīfaifai* necessary to qualify for participating in Te Api Nui O Te Tifaifai's annual Salon du Tīfaifai.
15. *Tīfaifai* owned by households might also be employed for decoration for some public gatherings.
16. The first reenactment took place in 1954 following the reconstruction of Marae 'Ārahurahu.
17. In Dinéty's 2012 *Patrimoines polynésiens*, for example, there is no mention of *tīfaifai*, although pandanus weaving, sculpture, tattooing and pearl culture are discussed.

REFERENCES

- Air Tahiti Magazine*, 2016. Vol. 92 (Oct., Nov., Dec.): 73.
- Akana, Elizabeth, 1981. *Hawaiian Quilting: A Fine Art*. Honolulu: The Hawaiian Mission Children's Society.
- Appadurai, Arjun, 1996. *Modernity at Large: Cultural Dimensions of Globalization*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Appadurai, Arjun (ed.), 1986. *The Social Life of Things: Commodities in Cultural Perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Arthur, Linda Boynton, 2010a. *The Hawaiian Quilt: A Unique American Art Form*. Waipahu, HI: Island Heritage Publishing.
- 2010b. *Contemporary Hawaiian Quilting*. Waipahu, HI: Island Heritage Publishing.
- Barad, Karen, 2007. *Meeting the Universe Halfway: Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Bauman, Richard, 1989. American folklore studies and social transformation: A performance-centered perspective. *Text and Performance Quarterly* 9: 175–84.
- Bell, Joshua A. and Haidy Geismar, 2009. Materialising Oceania: New ethnographies of things in Melanesia and Polynesia. *The Australian Journal of Anthropology* 20: 3–27.
- Brandon, Reiko Mochinaga and Loretta G.H. Woodard, 2003. *Hawaiian Quilts: Tradition and Transition*. Tokyo: Kokusai Art.
- Brett, David, 1996. *The Construction of Heritage*. Cork: Cork University Press.
- Clifford, James, 2001. Indigenous articulations. *The Contemporary Pacific* 13 (2): 468–90.

- Conquergood, Dwight, 1989. Poetics, play, process, and power: The performative turn in anthropology. *Text and Performance Quarterly* 9: 82–88.
- 1992. Fabricating culture: The textile art of Hmong refugee women. In E.C. Fine and J.H. Speer (eds), *Performance, Culture and Identity*. Westport, CN: Praeger, pp. 206–48.
- Cumming, C.F., 1877. *A Lady's Cruise in a French Man-of-War*. 2 vols. London: Blackwood and Sons.
- Cuzent, M.G., 1860. *Îles de la Société*. Rochefort: Imprimerie chez Thèze.
- D'Alleva, Anne, 2005. Elite clothing and the social fabric of pre-colonial Tahiti. In S. Küchler G. Were and G. Jowitt (eds), *The Art of Clothing: A Pacific Experience*. London: UCL Press, pp. 47–60.
- Dant, Tim, 1999. *Material Culture in the Social World: Values, Activities, Lifestyles*. Philadelphia: Open University Press.
- de Chazeaux, Michèle and Marie-Noëlle Frémy, 2012. *Le Tifaifai*. Pirae, Tahiti: Editions Au Vent des Îles.
- Dicks, Bella, 2003. *Culture on Display: The Production of Contemporary Visitability*. Maidenhead, UK: Open University Press.
- Dinéty, Jean-Claude, 2012. *Patrimoines polynésiens*. Paris: L'Harmattan.
- Durocher, Christian, 1997. 100 ans de patience pour le tifaifai. *La Dépêche de Tahiti*. April Wednesday 3: 26–27. Pape'ete, Tahiti.
- Fienup-Riordan, Ann, 2000. *Hunting Tradition in a Changing World: Yupu'ik Lives in Alaska Today*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
- Fine, Elizabeth C. and Jean Haskell Speer (eds), 1992. *Performance, Culture, and Identity*. Westport, CN: Praeger.
- Finney, Ben, 1979. Tahiti et Mama France. Conference paper for the 4th Annual Pacific Islands Studies Conference (held April 6–7), "The Emerging Pacific Island States." University of Hawai'i, Manoa.
- Gell, Alfred, 1998. *Art and Agency: An Anthropological Theory*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Gloaguen, Ronan and Brenda Chin Foo, n.d. *Tifaifai: The Tahitian Patchwork*. Pape'ete, Tahiti: Ministère de l'économie et de l'artisanat, le Tomite Tahiti i te Rima Rau.
- Grand, Simone, 2012. *Te Tifaifai, Une narration gestuelle*. Pape'ete, Tahiti: Editions Univers Polynésiens.
- Hammond, Joyce D., 1986a. *Tifaifai and Quilts of Polynesia*. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.
- 1986b. Polynesian women and tifaifai: Fabrications of identity. *Journal of American Folklore* 99 (393): 259–79.
- 2014. Tifaifai in Tahiti: Embracing change. *Uncoverings* 35: 42–68.
- 2015. Tableau style tifaifai of French Polynesia: An evolving narrative form. *Textile: The Journal of Cloth and Culture* 13 (2):176–209.
- 2017. Traditional Tahitian weddings for tourists: An entwinement of mimetic practices. In J.M. Mageo and E. Hermann (eds), *Mimesis and Pacific Transcultural Encounters: Making Likenesses in Time, Trade, and Ritual Reconfigurations*. New York: Berghahn Books, pp. 111–37.

- Herda, Phyllis S. 1999. Changing texture of textiles in Tonga. *Journal of the Polynesian Society* 108 (2): 149–67.
- 2000. Creating a new tradition: Quilting in Tonga. *Uncoverings* 21: 57–78.
- 2002. Cook Islands tivaevae: Migration and the display of culture in Aotearoa/New Zealand. In A. Herle, N. Stanley, K. Stevenson and R.L. Welsch (eds), *Pacific Art: Persistence, Change and Meaning*. Honolulu: University of Hawai‘i Press, pp. 139–46.
- 2011. Tivaevae: Women’s quilting in the Cook Islands. *Uncoverings* 32: 55–78.
- Hermann, Elfriede (ed.), 2011. *Changing Contexts, Shifting Meanings: Transformations of Cultural Traditions in Oceania*. Honolulu: University of Hawai‘i Press in association with the Honolulu Academy of Arts.
- Hiro ‘a, *Journal d’informations culturelles*, 2015. Tifaifai, trésor du patrimoine polynésien. Vol. 91 (April). Available at: <http://www.hiroa.pf/2015/04/n91-tifaifai-tresor-du-patrimoine-polynesien/>
- 2018. Découvrir la culture polynésienne dès son arrivée au fenua. Vol. 127 (April). Available at: <http://www.hiroa.pf/2018/04/n127-decouvrir-la-culture-polynesienne-des-son-arrivee-au-fenua/>
- Kaeppler, Adrienne L., 2008. *The Pacific Arts of Polynesia and Micronesia*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kerlogue, Fiona, 2004. *Performing Objects: Museums, Material Culture and Performance in Southeast Asia*. London: The Horniman Museum and Gardens.
- Kooijman, Simon, 1988. *Polynesian Barkcloth*. Bucks, UK: Shire Publications.
- Kopytoff, Igor, 1986. The cultural biography of things: commoditization as process. In A. Appadurai (ed.), *The Social Life of Things: Commodities in Cultural Perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 64–91.
- Küchler, Susanne and Andrea Eimke, 2009. *Tivaivai: The Social Fabric of the Cook Islands*. London: The British Museum.
- Küchler, Susanne, Graeme Were and Glenn Jowitt, 2005. *Pacific Pattern*. London: Thames & Hudson.
- Langevin, Christine, 1990. *Tahitiennes de la tradition à l’intégration culturelle*. Paris: L’Harmattan.
- Latour, Bruno, 2005. *Reassembling the Social: An Introduction to Actor-Network-Theory*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Martinson, Karen Jean, 2014. Relic, souvenir, or just hair? Exploring the complexities of actants and things as mementos in the merchandise of El Vez, the Mexican Elvis. In M. Schweitzer and J. Zerdy (eds), *Performing Objects and Theatrical Things*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 173–84.
- Miller, Daniel, 1987. *Material Culture and Mass Consumption*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Miller, Daniel (ed.), 2005. *Materiality*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- O’Reilly, Patrick, 1959. Note sur les “ti fai fai” tahitiens. *Journal de la Société des Océanistes* 15: 166–77.
- 1975. *Tahiti au temps des cartes postales*. Paris: Nouvelles Éditions Latines.
- Poirrier, Philippe, 2003. Heritage and cultural policy in France under the Fifth Republic. *International Journal of Cultural Policy* 9 (2): 215–25.
- Rongotea, Lynnsay, 2001. *The Art of Tivaevae: Traditional Cook Islands Quilting*. Honolulu: University of Hawai‘i Press.

- Rose, Roger, 1971. *The Material Culture of Ancient Tahiti*. Unpublished PhD thesis, Harvard University.
- Saura, Bruno, 2008. *Tahiti Mā'ohi: Culture, identité, religion, et nationalisme en Polynésie française*. Pirae, Tahiti: Au Vent des Îles.
- Schouwenburg, Hans, 2015. "Back to the future?" History, material culture and new materialism. *International Journal for History, Culture and Modernity* 3 (1): 59–72.
- Schweitzer, Marlis and Joanne Zerdy, 2014. Introduction. In M. Schweitzer and J. Zerdy (eds), *Performing Objects and Theatrical Things*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 1-17.
- Serrao, Poakalani, John Serrao, Raelene Correia and Cissy Serrao. 2007. *The Hawaiian Quilt: The Tradition Continues*. Honolulu: Mutual Publishing.
- Smith, Laurajane. 2006. *Uses of Heritage*. New York: Routledge.
- 2011. The "doing" of heritage: Heritage as performance. In A. Jackson and J. Kidd (eds), *Performing Heritage: Research, Practice and Innovation in Museum Theatre and Live Interpretation*. Manchester: Manchester University Press, pp. 69–81.
- Stevenson, Karen, 1990. "Heiva": Continuity and change of a Tahitian celebration. *The Contemporary Pacific* 2 (2): 255–78.
- 2002. The island in the urban: Contemporary Pacific art in New Zealand. In A. Herle, N. Stanley, K. Stevenson and R.L. Welsch (eds), *Pacific Art: Persistence, Change and Meaning*. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press, pp. 404–14.
- Storey, John, 2017. Culture: The "popular" and the "material". In A. Malinowska and K. Lebek (eds), *Materiality and Popular Culture*. New York: Routledge, pp. 13–24.
- Tcherkézoff, Serge, 2003. On cloth, gifts and nudity: Regarding some European misunderstandings during early encounters in Polynesia. In C. Colchester (ed), *Clothing the Pacific*. New York: Berg, pp. 51–75.
- 2004. "First Contacts" in Polynesia: *The Samoan Case (1722–1848): Western Misunderstandings about Sexuality and Divinity*. Canberra: ANU Press.
- Thomas, Nicholas, 1991. *Entangled Objects: Exchange, Material Culture, and Colonialism in the Pacific*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Turner, Victor, 1986. *The Anthropology of Performance*. New York: PAJ Publications.
- van Binsbergen, Wim, 2005. Introduction. In W.M.J. van Binsbergen and P.L. Geshiere (eds), *Commodification: Things, Agency, and Identities (The Social Life of Things Revisited)*. Münster: Lit, pp. 9–51.
- Varutti, Marzia, 2015. Crafting heritage: Artisans and the making of indigenous heritage in contemporary Taiwan. *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 21 (10): 1036–49.
- Warren, Karen J., 2000. *Ecofeminist Philosophy: A Western Perspective on What It Is and Why It Matters*. New York: Rowman and Littlefield Publishers.
- Wettstein, Marion, 2016. How ethnic identity becomes real: The enactment of identity roles and the material manifestation of shifting identities among the Nagas. *Asian Ethnicity* 17 (3): 384–99.

ABSTRACT

Tīfai, the visually striking piecework and appliqué textiles (sometimes referred to as quilts) that islanders of Tahiti and other locations in French Polynesia have created for over 150 years, are increasingly part of a public cultural heritage discourse. Focusing on appliqué *tīfai*, the most popular form in the Society Islands for many decades, I examine the contemporary role of *tīfai* in conveying messages of cultural heritage in public places and events. My analysis draws from new materialism theory, performance studies and visual display concepts. As actants, *tīfai* have agency and are co-constitutive with people who may interact with them in various ways. A variety of performative contexts in which *tīfai* are displayed and used reveal the breadth of messages that are conveyed which reinforce and expand aspects of Mā‘ohi cultural heritage and identity. A biographical approach to *tīfai* as a form of material culture is included to illustrate the ways in which the actions of people, government policies, economic circumstances and other impactful phenomena have led to the contemporary role of *tīfai* as both objects and symbols of cultural heritage. This study aids in understanding how cultural heritage may be understood and performed by local communities through the medium of a continuous, evolving textile tradition.

Keywords: *tīfai*, Tahiti, cultural heritage, *patrimoine*, identity, new materialism theory, performance, textile traditions, quilts

CITATION AND AUTHOR CONTACT DETAILS

Hammond,¹ Joyce D., 2018. Performing cultural heritage with *tīfai*, Tahitian “quilts”. *Journal of the Polynesian Society* 127 (2): 207-248. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15286/jps.127.2.207-248>

¹ Correspondence: Department of Anthropology, Western Washington University, Bellingham, Washington 98225, USA. Email: joyce.hammond@wwu.edu